

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:		
	BEDINGFIELD, ET AL.	
Serial No.:	09/513,005) Art Unit: 2645
Filed	February 25 th , 2000) Examiner: Foster
For:	Region-Wide Messaging System and Methods Including Validation of Transactions	Attorney Docket No. 99018 RECEIVED JUN 0 4 2004
		Technology Center 2600

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE AND SUMMARY OF TELEPHONE INTERVIEW WITH EXAMINER FOSTER

Commissioner for Patents Alexandria, Virginia

Sir:

The Office Action mailed January 28, 2004 was deemed final. As in the previous Office Action, the final Office Action rejected all of the claims (renumbered as claims 13-30) under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being allegedly anticipated by United States Patent No. 5,812,639 to *Bartholomew et al.*

Patent Examiner Foster is thanked for the telephone interview conducted May 7, 2004. The topics discussed included the differences between the claimed subject matter and *Bartholomew et al.* Specifically, the differences of the claimed voice mail servers that operate in different geographic regions or are operated by different service providers were contrasted with *Bartholomew et al.*'s voice mailboxes that operate in a generally homogenous network. No agreement was reached during the interview.

Concurrently with the filing of this Amendment and Response, a Request for Continuing Examination (RCE) of this application is filed.